Partially Quenched Chiral Condensates from the Replica Method

Poul H. Damgaard

The Niels Bohr Institute
Blegdamsvej 17
DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø
Denmark

February 1, 2008

arXiv:hep-lat/0001002v1 3 Jan 2000

Abstract

A large-N_f expansion is used to compute the partially quenched chiral condensate of QCD in the microscopic finite-volume scaling region.

NBI-HE-00-01
hep-lat/0001002

While the replica method has found widespread use in condensed matter physics as a means of generating quenched averages, it has only rarely been applied in particle-physics contexts. Analytical approaches to quenching – so often used in lattice gauge theory simulations – have typically been based on the alternative supersymmetric method [1] as applied to the effective chiral Lagrangian (see also ref. [2]). In the past few years this supersymmetric method has been successful in deriving exact finite-volume scaling laws for the partially quenched chiral condensate, and in computing analytically the smallest Dirac operator spectrum in gauge theories with spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking [3]. Because the supersymmetric method relies on a subtle definition of the global integration supermanifold of the effective Lagrangian, it is nevertheless of interest if the same results can be arrived at in an entirely different manner. Steps in that direction were made very recently in ref. [4], where it was shown that small-mass and large-mass series expansions of the partially quenched chiral condensate can be computed via the replica method. In the present paper we shall extend these results by showing that also a large-N_f expansion is a suitable starting point for the replica method. The resulting series expansion for the partially quenched chiral condensate turns out to be a resummed version of the asymptotic large-mass expansion derived in ref. [4], and thus more accurate in the appropriate regime.

In the present context the replica method consists in adding to the SU($N_c \geq 3$) QCD Lagrangian of N_f physical quark flavors N_v additional "valence quarks", which, in the simplest case, are taken to be mass-degenerate. We denote the physical quark masses by m_f, and the mass of the valence quarks by m_v. We next consider the extended QCD partition function in a sector of topological charge ν, which we for simplicity take to be non-negative (all physical results are in any case symmetric under $\nu \to -\nu$):

$$\mathcal{Z}_\nu^{(N_f+N_v)} \;=\; \left(\prod_{f=1}^{N_f} m_f^\nu\right) m_v^{N_v\nu} \int [dA]_\nu \; \det{}'(i\not{D} - m_v)^{N_v} \prod_{f=1}^{N_f} \det{}'(i\not{D} - m_f) \; e^{-S_{YM}[A]} \; . \tag{1}$$

The prime on the determinants indicate that they exclude zero modes, whose effect has already been taken into account by the prefactors. This is an (unnormalized) average of N_v identical replicas of the fermionic partition function

$$\mathcal{Z}_v \;\equiv\; \int d\bar{\psi} d\psi \; \exp\left[\int d^4x \bar{\psi}(i\not{D} - m_v)\psi\right] \; . \tag{2}$$

Letting $N_v = 0$ just reproduces the original QCD partition function. But the theory extended with N_v additional quark species can serve as a generating functional of partially quenched averages of $\bar{\psi}\psi$ if one sets N_v to zero *after* having performed the required differentiations. Assuming that $\mathcal{N} \equiv N_f + N_v$ is small enough for spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking to take place according to the conventional SU($\mathcal{N}$)×SU($\mathcal{N}$) → SU($\mathcal{N}$), the theory can be analyzed in the low-energy region by means of its effective chiral Lagrangian. For N_v integer, this is completely straightforward, and no additional assumptions are required. In order to apply the replica method one must in addition rely on analyticity in the number of flavors $\mathcal{N}$. This is the non-trivial step, and it is a priori far from obvious that it can be done at all. But as shown in ref. [4], the needed analytic continuation is possible in both small-mass and large-mass expansions. This is because the dimension of the coset group SU($\mathcal{N}$) in these expansions appears only in simple group invariants that are at most rational functions of polynomials in $\mathcal{N}$. Except for the appearance of poles, such functions involving only polynomials of $\mathcal{N}$ can unambiguously be continued to non-integer $\mathcal{N}$. As in ref. [4], we shall restrict ourselves to the low-energy and finite-volume regime where $V \ll 1/m_\pi^4$ in the limit where V is sent to infinity. In this finite-volume scaling region there are also exact non-perturbative results from both the supersymmetric method [3] and

universal Random Matrix Theory formulas [5] with which to compare our results. The conventional infinite-volume chiral condensate is always normalized to Σ, independently of the physical number of flavors N_f.

The (mass-dependent) partially quenched chiral condensate in the given finite four-volume V is defined by

$$\frac{\Sigma_\nu(\mu_v, \{\mu_f\})}{\Sigma} \equiv \lim_{N_v \to 0} \frac{1}{N_v} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_v} \ln \mathcal{Z}_\nu^{(\mathcal{N})} \ . \tag{3}$$

As indicated, in the above scaling region the masses only enter in the combinations $\mu_f \equiv m_f V\Sigma$ and $\mu_v \equiv m_v V\Sigma$. In lattice gauge theory simulations the implied finite-volume scaling has been checked with staggered fermions [6] and, very recently, also with lattice fermions sensitive to gauge field topology [7].

The effective finite-volume partition function is

$$\mathcal{Z}_\nu^{(\mathcal{N})} = \int_{U(\mathcal{N})} dU \ (\det U)^\nu \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{M}U^\dagger + U\mathcal{M}^\dagger)\right] \ , \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is the $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$ matrix of rescaled masses: $\mu_f = m_f \Sigma V$ for $f = 1, \ldots, N_f$ and $\mu_v = m_v \Sigma V$ in the remaining N_v entries. We shall here compute the partially quenched chiral condensate by means of a large-N_f expansion of this effective partition function [8]. Because the effective action in eq. (4) involves $\mathcal{N}$ terms, while the integration is over $\mathcal{N}^2$ variables, it is conventional to perform the $1/\mathcal{N}$-expansion by considering an action rescaled by $\mathcal{N}$:

$$\mathcal{Z}_\nu^{(\mathcal{N})} = \int_{U(\mathcal{N})} dU \ (\det U)^\nu \exp\Big[\mathcal{N}\mathrm{Tr}(AU^\dagger + UA^\dagger)\Big] \ . \tag{5}$$

Letting λ_a denote the eigenvalues of $A^\dagger A$, the relation between these and the rescaled masses are thus

$$\lambda_a^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}} \ \mu_a \ . \tag{6}$$

At $N_f = \infty$, the starting point of a large-N_f expansion, the effective partition function is known to describe two diffferent phases [8]. Defining

$$\sigma_k \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \sum_a \frac{1}{\lambda_a^{k/2}} \ , \tag{7}$$

the transition between the two phases occurs at $\sigma_1 = 2$ for $\nu = 0$ [8], and this remains unchanged for any finite ν. We shall here focus on the phase with $\sigma_1 < 2$, which thus corresponds to large masses. We also note that

$$\sigma_k = 2^k \mathcal{N}^{k-1} \sum_a \frac{1}{\mu_a^k} \ , \tag{8}$$

and introduce in addition

$$\bar{\sigma}_k \equiv \sum_a \frac{1}{\mu_a^k} \ . \tag{9}$$

Conventionally one defines the free energy by $F^{(\nu)} \equiv (1/\mathcal{N}) \ln \mathcal{Z}_\nu$, but we shall instead need $\mathcal{F}^{(\nu)} \equiv \mathcal{N} F^{(\nu)} = \ln \mathcal{Z}_\nu$ (see *e.g.* eq. (3)). In the large-$\mathcal{N}$ expansion one most readily computes

$$F_a^{(\nu)} \equiv \frac{\partial F^{(\nu)}}{\partial \lambda_a} = \frac{2\mathcal{N}}{\mu_a} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(\nu)}}{\partial \mu_a} \ , \tag{10}$$

which is particularly convenient for our purposes, since this is directly related to the partially quenched chiral condensate:

$$\frac{\Sigma_\nu(\mu_v,\{\mu_f\})}{\Sigma} \;=\; \frac{1}{N_v}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_v}\mathcal{F}^{(\nu)}\Big|_{N_v=0} \;. \tag{11}$$

We thus need N_v-fold degenerate eigenvalues μ_v, and can trivially take the $N_v \to 0$ limit (as was to be expected in an expansion around $N_f = \infty$).

In the sector of topological charge $\nu = 0$ a large-$\mathcal{N}$ expansion of the effective partition function (5) has been worked out to high orders by Gross and Newman [8], and we can directly make use of their results. They find, in the phase with $\sigma_1 < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_a^{(0)} \;=\; & \frac{1}{\lambda_a^{1/2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}}\sum_b \frac{1}{\lambda_a^{1/2}+\lambda_b^{1/2}}\right) - \frac{1}{16\mathcal{N}^2(2-\sigma_1)\lambda_a^{3/2}} \\ & -\frac{9}{256\mathcal{N}^4}\left[\frac{1}{(2-\sigma_1)^3\lambda_a^{5/2}}+\frac{\sigma_3}{(2-\sigma_1)^4\lambda_a^{3/2}}\right] \\ & -\frac{9}{2048\mathcal{N}^6}\left[\frac{25}{(2-\sigma_1)^5\lambda_a^{7/2}}+\frac{42\sigma_3}{(2-\sigma_1)^6\lambda_a^{5/2}}\right. \\ & \left.+\left(\frac{42\sigma_3^2}{(2-\sigma_1)^7}+\frac{25\sigma_5}{(2-\sigma_1)^6}\right)\frac{1}{\lambda_a^{3/2}}\right]+\ldots \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

It is not a priory obvious that this large-$\mathcal{N}$ expansion is suitable for our purposes, since we are certainly only interested in the expansion for relatively small N_f. In fact, we shall even also seek the other extreme limit of $N_f = 0$, which just corresponds to the fully quenched case. However, in the phase with $\sigma_1 < 2$ the large-$\mathcal{N}$ expansion ought to be connected with the saddle-point expansion, which in turn should be related to the large-mass expansion derived in ref. [4]. As we shall explicitly verify below, this is indeed the case.

Using relations (9) and (10) we directly recover an expansion for the partially quenched chiral condensate in a gauge field sector of vanishing topological charge:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Sigma_0(\mu_v,\{\mu_f\})}{\Sigma} \;=\; & 1-\sum_{j=1}^{N_f}\frac{1}{\mu_v+\mu_j} - \frac{1}{\mu_v^2}\left[\frac{1}{8(1-\bar\sigma_1)}+\frac{9}{128(1-\bar\sigma_1)^4}\bar\sigma_3\right. \\ & \left.+\frac{189}{512(1-\bar\sigma_1)^7}\bar\sigma_3^2+\frac{225}{1024(1-\bar\sigma_1)^6}\bar\sigma_5+\ldots\right] \\ & -\frac{1}{\mu_v^4}\left[\frac{9}{128(1-\bar\sigma_1)^3}+\frac{189}{512(1-\bar\sigma_1)^6}\bar\sigma_3+\ldots\right] \\ & -\frac{1}{\mu_v^6}\left[\frac{225}{1024(1-\bar\sigma_1)^5}+\ldots\right]+\ldots \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Remarkably, all explicit factors of $\mathcal{N}$ have cancelled, and the above expansion is perfectly well-defined for any N_f, even all the way down to $N_f = 0$.[1] The only requirement is that $\sigma_1 < 2$, which translates into $\bar\sigma_1 < 1$ (in the $N_f = 0$ case there is no such requirement, and one simply sets all void sums to zero in the above expansion). As expected, the resulting series is thus related to the large-mass expansion of ref. [4]. In fact, many curious regularities observed in the large-mass expansion of ref. [4] now find their explanation: the above large-$\mathcal{N}$ series is a non-trivial *resummation* of the ordinary large-mass expansion that highlights the special role played by the first inverse mass sum $\bar\sigma_1$. In ref.

[1] Note that the limit $N_v = 0$ has already been taken here, so that $\mathcal{N} = N_f$ at this point.

[4] the large-mass series was for convenience truncated at an order (7th) taken to be the same both in the quenched mass μ_v and the physical masses μ_f. Indeed, if one truncates the expansion of eq. (13) at the same order, one recovers exactly the large-mass series derived in ref. [4]. It is also in this form that one can most easily compare with the analytical results of both the supersymmetric method [3] and Random Matrix Theory [6], and as already noted in ref. [4] there is perfect agreement with both. However, only the large-N_f expansion has revealed that a resummation of the series is possible.

The large-mass expansion of ref. [4] made use of the fact that the $\nu = 0$ effective partition function factors into two pieces, one originating directly from the leading-order saddle point solution, and a remaining function which is annihilated by a set of Virasoro generators. Unfortunately, it is not presently known how to extend this technique based on Virasoro constraints to the case of $\nu \neq 0$. But the large-$\mathcal{N}$ expansion is not afflicted with this problem, and it can easily be carried through to the case $\nu \neq 0$. Also here much of the work has already been done for us. In particular, Brihaye and Rossi [9] have derived the large-$\mathcal{N}$ expansion up to order $1/\mathcal{N}^4$ in the free energy. Using our notation their result for the free energy $F^{(\nu)} = 1/\mathcal{N} \ln \mathcal{Z}_\nu$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(\nu)} &= 2\sum_a \lambda_a^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}} \sum_{a,b} \ln[\lambda_a^{1/2} + \lambda_b^{1/2}] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}}(\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \ln(2 - \sigma_1) + \frac{(\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4})(\nu^2 - \frac{9}{4})}{24\mathcal{N}^3} \frac{\sigma_3}{(2-\sigma_1)^3} + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

This corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned} F_a^{(\nu)} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_a^{1/2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}} \sum_b \frac{1}{\lambda_a^{1/2} + \lambda_b^{1/2}} \right) - \frac{1}{16\mathcal{N}^2(2-\sigma_1)\lambda_a^{3/2}} + \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{4\mathcal{N}^2(2-\sigma_1)\lambda_a^{3/2}} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4})(\nu^2 - \frac{9}{4})}{16\mathcal{N}^4} \left[\frac{\sigma_3}{(2-\sigma_1)^4 \lambda_a^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{(2-\sigma_1)^3 \lambda_a^{5/2}} \right] + \dots \ , \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

which in turn, introducing the rescaled sums (9) and the identification (10), leads to a partially quenched chiral condensate computed up to $\mathcal{O}(1/\mathcal{N}^6)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Sigma_\nu(\mu_v, \{\mu_f\})}{\Sigma} &= 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} \frac{1}{\mu_v + \mu_j} + \frac{1}{\mu_v^2} \left[\frac{4\nu^2 - 1}{8(1-\bar{\sigma}_1)} - \frac{(4\nu^2-1)(4\nu^2-9)}{128(1-\bar{\sigma}_1)^4} \bar{\sigma}_3 + \dots \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\mu_v^4} \left[\frac{(4\nu^2-1)(4\nu^2-9)}{128(1-\bar{\sigma}_1)^3} + \dots \right] + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Of course, for $\nu = 0$ we recover the leading orders of the expansion (13). We also note that the first terms in eq. (15), those from the classical saddle point, are unaffacted by the presence of $(\det U)^\nu$ in the integrand, as expected. If we expand the result (16) in $\bar{\sigma}_1$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Sigma_\nu(\mu_v, \{\mu_f\})}{\Sigma} &= 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} \frac{1}{\mu_v + \mu_j} + \frac{1}{\mu_v^2} \left[\frac{4\nu^2 - 1}{8} \left(1 + \bar{\sigma}_1 + \bar{\sigma}_1^2 + \bar{\sigma}_1^3 + \bar{\sigma}_1^4 + \dots \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(4\nu^2-1)(4\nu^2-9)}{128} \bar{\sigma}_3 \left(1 + 4\bar{\sigma}_1 + 10\bar{\sigma}_1^2 + 20\bar{\sigma}_1^3 + \dots \right) \ + \dots \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\mu_v^4} \frac{(4\nu^2-1)(4\nu^2-9)}{128} \left[1 + 3\bar{\sigma}_1 + 6\bar{\sigma}_1^2 + 10\bar{\sigma}_1^3 + \dots \right] + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

As a check, this agrees with the asymptotic expansion of the the fully quenched ($N_f = 0$) chiral condensate [6, 3]

$$\frac{\Sigma_\nu(\mu_v)}{\Sigma} \;=\; \mu_v \left[I_\nu(\mu_v)K_\nu(\mu_v) + I_{\nu+1}(\mu_v)K_{\nu-1}(\mu_v)\right] + \frac{\nu}{\mu_v}\,, \tag{18}$$

as obtained from either the supersymmetric method or from Random Matrix Theory. However, the standard asymptotic expansions of these expressions, using the individual asymptotic series of the modified Bessel function $I_n(x)$ and $K_n(x)$, miss the possibility of resummations as in the large-$\mathcal{N}$ expansions (13) and (16). It is interesting to note that the otherwise ubiquitous ν/μ_v-term in the partially quenched chiral condensate, which normally [10] can be traced directly back to the $\mu_v^{N_v\nu}$ factor from the zero modes in the original QCD partition function (1), is missing in the above expansion. It is due to a precise cancellation between this term and a $\mathcal{O}(1/\mu_v)$ piece arising from the asymptotic expansions of the modified Bessel functions in (18), which thus, beyond the classical saddle-point terms, starts at $\mathcal{O}(1/\mu_v^2)$.[2]

To conclude, the large-$\mathcal{N}$ expansion of the effective partition function of QCD in the finite-volume scaling regime $V \ll 1/m_\pi^4$ is a good starting point for an analytical computation of the partially quenched chiral condensate by means of the replica method. The resulting series is a resummation of the large-mass expansion derived by means of the same replica method in ref. [4]. In the $\nu = 0$ sector we have explicitly checked this agreement, while for sectors with $\nu \neq 0$ we have confirmed in the $N_f = 0$ case that the resulting series agrees with the asymptotic expansion of the partially quenched chiral condensate as it obtained by both the supersymmetric technique and Random Matrix Theory. The replica method is clearly a suitable alternative to these methods, at least in series expansions. To go beyond such expansions requires an analytical handle on "external-field" group integrals for unitary groups $\mathrm{U}(n)$ with n extended beyond integers, a problem that may appear forbiddingly difficult. Fortunately, less is actually needed: only the exact coefficient of the leading term in an ϵ-expansion of the group $\mathrm{U}(N_f + \epsilon)$, where N_f is integer. Finding this exact expression will allow one to establish the surprising relationship between such group integrals and those of the supergroup extensions discussed in ref. [3]. Other outstanding questions are how to use this method to derive spectral correlation functions of the Dirac operator [3], and how to understand why the correlations of the smallest Dirac eigenvalues can be described in terms of a theory extended with additional fermion species [11] (a result that has a natural explanation in the supergroup formulation [3]). Finally, the replica method may also find use as an alternative way to perform partially quenched chiral perturbation theory in general, *i.e*, beyond the finite-volume scaling regime considered here.

Acknowledgement:
This work was supported in part by EU TMR grant no. ERBFMRXCT97-0122.

References

[1] A. Morel, J. Physique **48** (1987) 1111.
C. Bernard and M. Golterman, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 853; Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994) 486.

[2] S.R. Sharpe, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 3146.

[3] J.C. Osborn, D. Toublan and J.J.M. Verbaarschot, Nucl. Phys. **B540** (1999) 317.
P.H. Damgaard, J.C. Osborn, D. Toublan and J.J.M. Verbaarschot, Nucl. Phys. **B547** (1999) 305.
D. Toublan and J.J.M. Verbaarschot, hep-th/9904199.

[2] This cancellation was first observed by J. Verbaarschot (private communication).

[4] P.H. Damgaard and K. Splittorff, hep-th/9912146.

[5] E.V. Shuryak and J.J.M. Verbaarschot, Nucl. Phys. **A560** (1993) 306.
J.J.M. Verbaarschot and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 3852.
G. Akemann, P.H. Damgaard, U. Magnea and S. Nishigaki, Nucl. Phys. **B487** (1997) 721.

[6] J.J.M. Verbaarschot, Phys. Lett. **B368** (1996) 137.

[7] P.H. Damgaard, R.G. Edwards, U.M. Heller and R. Narayanan, hep-lat/9907016
P. Hernandez, K. Jansen and L. Lellouch, hep-lat/9907022.

[8] D.J. Gross and M.J. Newman, Phys. Lett. **B266** (1991) 291.

[9] Y. Brihaye and P. Rossi, Lett. Math. Phys. **8** (1984) 207.

[10] P.H. Damgaard, Phys. Lett. **B425** (1998) 151.

[11] P.H. Damgaard, Phys. Lett. **B424** (1998) 322.
G. Akemann and P.H. Damgaard, Nucl. Phys. **B519** (1998) 682; Phys. Lett. **B432** (1998) 390; hep-th/9910190.